



University of California, San Diego
Graduate Student Association

Council Meeting #8
Monday, February 9, 2015
6:00pm, Price Center Forum

- I. Call to Order [6:05pm]
- II. Approval of Agenda [5 mins]
- III. Old Business - Approval of Council Meeting 7 [Minutes](#) [5 mins]
- IV. Public Comments and Announcements [5 mins]
 - A. Time of Finance Meeting changed to every other Tuesday at 12pm
 - B. Janelle-Grad Slam: opportunity of grad students to present their research
 - 1. cash prizes of 100-2500
 - 2. Sign ups are still open
 - 3. workshops for public speaking and preparedness for competition
 - C. Andrew-UC Ship: correct mailing address for more information
 - D. David (UCSD Alumnus): speaking regards to the Che Cafe
 - 1. aware of a resolution being presented tonight
 - 2. consider not voting on the Che Cafe resolution
 - 3. Feels like the document does not present the Che Cafe
- V. John Senour - Student Legal Services [10 minutes] (858) sls.ucsd.edu
 - A. Attorney and Director of Student Legal Services
 - B. Not able to do in court litigation
 - 1. Generally speaking, if you wanted guidance on how to deal with a landlord or something like that, they can help you
 - 2. Nothing on income tax though
 - C. Network of workers who are able to offer counseling to students
 - D. Would you be a resource on legal matters of patents and starting a business?
Available to help with patent
 - E. Student organization issues to be dealt with SLS
- VI. Finance Bills:
 - A. APRF 08: Appropriate \$200 to Lindsay Dawson for APD Consulting Club Networking Night @ The Loft. This event will be hosted on Feb 24th and the organizer are expecting to have approximate 40 graduate students. The funding

will be used for refreshments and publicity.

1. ***Motion to approve, seconded [passed]***

- B. APRF 09 - Appropriate \$70 to Lynn Waterhouse for the Quantitative Ecology Discussion Group at Scripps Institute of Oceanography . This event will be hosted on March 11th and it is open to the general public. The funding will be used for pizza and publicity.

1. ***Motion to approve, seconded [passed]***

- C. GRF 08 - Appropriate \$250 to Tony Yen for Jacobs Graduate Student Council quarterly coffee hour. This event will be hosted on March 11th and the event attracts 100 graduate students on average.

1. ***Motion to approve, seconded [passed]***

- D. LIF 2: Appropriate \$700 for Jeanelle Horcasitas Graduate Lounge Renovation. The funding will be used for purchasing a couch, high table, rugs and media Cables.

1. ***Motion to approve, seconded [passed]***

- E. LIF 3: Appropriate \$700 for Jonathan Reimer from Department of Theatre and Dance for their new lounge. The funding will be used for purchasing a couch, high table, rugs and media Cables.

1. ***Motion to approve, seconded [passed]***

VII. Appointments

- A. VP Campus - Mary McGuirk, University City Planning Group

1. ***Motion to approve, seconded [passed]***

- B. VP External - Mark Derdzinski, Legislative Liaison for National Affairs

1. ***Motion to approve, seconded [passed]***

VIII. Presentation of Ad Hoc Che Committee Proposal (sponsor Don Johnson, Ad Hob CHE Committee Chair) [no time limit]

- A. Limit yielding to 5 minutes

1. ***Motion to approve, seconded [passed]***

- B. Commitment to Che resolution

1. been meeting for 3 months, once per week

2. Some of the committee members went to Che meeting

- C. Get the Che to be more of a student center

- D. Want to make sure to develop student involvement in Che Cafe

- E. Che Cafe would like to see more student involvement in the Che Cafe

- F. As a student organization, you have to present metrics/data to the finance committee/GSA

- G. CHE with events

1. events are not in CHE facilities

- H. There was a lack of student involvement in the CHE cafe and so there was the

intent to involve more students

1. We asked the collective to program outside their normal space and follow the metrics of UCSD
2. Committee made up of undergrads and grads to the CHE,
 - a) filling out TAP and mixer

I. Amelia Ray

1. resolution is the beginning, and this is not the final plan for the CHE cafe
2. compromise is for a middle point so that we may move forward with the plan

J. Susan-supporter of the CHE

1. 10 students and involvement in CHE cafe meetings

K. The amount that has been used for the CHE cafe

1. 3900 exit
2. 1000 for signage

L. CHE maintains liability for use

M. Fear that if CHE cafe will hold an event

1. Extend by 1 minutes

N. Safety of CHE Cafe

1. inaccurate

O. Assess for 5 minute break

P. Responsibility of GSA and AS to do what we think is best in order to represent the graduate student population; GSA's job to support the students and create a common ground

1. Mission of the Che Committee was to objectively find out what is happening with the Che Cafe

Q. Joe-Wanted to make a simple observation that it appears that the job of the committee is incomplete in the GSA

1. This should not radically change but should simply change on some leverage
2. ***Motion to get an 8-0-0 vote for the resolution from the committee and table for four weeks, second***
3. Objection - want all voices to be heard, the goal of the committee is not to get everyone all board, if everyone is on the same page we are at a point that we get to be too dilute
4. we won't be able to deal with other businesses of the council if we keep pushing this off
5. read the resolution: clearly delineate their maintenance requests; what constitutes a meeting space, concert, and a space they want it to be; when is it enough

6. committee has been dissolved and we would need to reform the committee to continue this discussion
7. Troy - Raised the issue around moving the Che Collective out of the building, which was never engaged in a conversation with the committee; believe the most pretentious part is moving the Che Collective out of the space; feel the resolution wasn't a proper product of the committee
8. Holly - this is not a new issue; the conversation have been in the works for a while; committee that has dedicated a lot of hours into the nitty gritty of this resolution which stems from the proposal; we have bigger issues on our council that we need to talk about; all we are voting on is the resolution which represents where the GSA stands
9. John - AS & GSA has not been in agreement with their position on the Che
10. Point of contention is the use the space; document passed out by the Che Collective seems like you are agreeing that the space isn't safe
11. think the document is really close to becoming something that we want; when we decertified the Che last year I think we were tired and only heard one side of the story → did it wrong last year
12. Dan - point of the joint resolution was that AS made their resolution same time GSA did; AS themselves was suppose to come out a report themselves but they didn't and used GSA as the report instead; one of the council needs to move forward and if one of the councils disagrees then we can talk to the other council; democratic process that you can vote ye or ney on
13. joint resolution was in the works for 2 weeks

14. *Call to question to motion to close the debate, seconded [passed]*

15. *Motion to table document in four weeks within the time for the committee to reform and propose a new resolution in four weeks [16-25]*

- R. Move to amend “BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the GSAUCSD encourages the CHE collective to use the CHE courtyard for small events until the end of the reintegration period;” seconded [Passed]**
- S. Move to amend “ BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the GSAUCSD encourages the CHE Collective to store its property in the building unless a writ of possession is served;” seconded [10-32] [Does not pass]**
1. 5 days to remove stuff
 2. worried that you will have an event there because system is there and integrated
 3. genuinely concerned about the safety of the equipment
 4. UCEN is at the max capacity for allocating space for student orgs

5. Call to question, seconded

T. Call to question the resolution, seconded

U. Motion to pass the resolution, seconded [36-5-1]

IX. Draft Council Resolution 3

X. Draft Charge of the Che Collective Campus Integration Committee (C4)

A. Motion to approve Che Collective Campus Integration Committee Charge (C4)

[37-0-1]

XI. Call for Council Meeting 9 Agenda Items

XII. Adjourn **[8:43pm]**

Appendix:

Remaining GSA Funds:

| FUND | budget Funds | Funds Remaining | Funds under Request | Funds remaining if the request approve |
|--|--------------|-----------------|---------------------|--|
| GENERAL REQUEST FUND | \$10000.00 | \$6070.00 | \$250.00 | \$5820.00 |
| ACADEMIC AND PROFESSIONAL REQUEST FUND | \$10000.00 | \$7775.00 | \$270.00 | \$7505.00 |
| LOUNGE IMPROVEMENT FUND | \$3500.00 | \$2800.00 | \$1400.00 | \$1400.00 |
| DIVERSITY REQUEST FUND | \$5000.00 | \$4950.00 | \$0.00 | \$4950.0 |
| SERVICE REQUEST FUND | \$1500.00 | \$1100.00 | \$0.00 | \$1100.00 |
| FAMILY FRIENDLY FUND | \$2500.00 | \$2300.00 | \$0.00 | \$2300.00 |