

- I. Call to Order [6:06pm]
- II. Approval of Agenda
  - A. *Motion to add discussion on GSA Unionization after Item VI, seconded*
- III. Old Business - Approval of Council Meeting 8 Minutes
  - A. *Move to approve, seconded*
- IV. Public Comments and Announcements
  - A. Timia: April 19, wine tasting event and artwork event with art of High Tech High student
  - B. Roaming social hour this Friday at 5 in the Cog Sci building
  - C. Thursday: Interdisciplinary Awards, 2pm at the Loft. Flyers are being handed out
- V. Special Visits: Interim Vice Chancellor for Equity, Diversity & Inclusion: Dr. Carol Padden
  - A. Background: office of VC EDI is a new one, VC Padden on interim basis until position can be filled permanently. Really interested in getting student participation and opening opportunities. LGBTRC, Women's Center, Black Resource Center and Raza Resource Center all under this office.
  - B. Childcare: we know it's essential for navigating graduate education. We've had co-ops in the past and we're working with Houston, Barrett, etc. to look for cheaper alternatives for childcare. We can make the ECC bigger and bigger but we need backup childcare options and other solutions. Looking at sign-up option like UC Berkeley has
  - C. The results from campuswide survey on climate in department sand on campus will be released at the end of March. We're planning a public discussion on that.
  - D. We're hiring full-time analyst for the office so we can be held accountable and see how we're doing
  - E. Questions:
    1. What specific info will you be looking at?
      - a) For the climate survey, in the interest of getting data out quickly and having a report, they aggregated all the URM students into one group, and then they have other minorities, such as Middle Eastern, API, etc. in another group. Our analyst will be dis-aggregating those groups so we can look at it specifically. The groups are not further split by gender, so for example we can't look at African-American women specifically. The analyst will be working on that. Another of her important responsibility: evaluating our programs, our outreach, retention programs, etc. She is going to help us do demographic tracking, find different ways of getting info, set up targeted focus groups, etc

2. There is a bill of student rights that has been drafted and is going through the Senate. Where do you see you and your office in helping students push that along?
  - a) We talk a lot about mentorship for undergrads, but it's important for grad students as well. I think training mentors is vital; mentors are important but sometimes the process is uncoordinated, so we should work on that.
3. After survey results are out, we want to figure out ways to engage the student body. Diversity Council is working on townhalls, especially about the racial profiling of students. They are planned for 4/16th and 4/23. I'm wondering if you and the EDI office would be interested in working with us/.
  - a) Yes, definitely. Survey was done by outside contractor. I've seen the draft of the survey but it's not detailed enough. Email me about it, i think our analyst will be on board by 3/10 and her expertise is in collecting opinions/evaluations. That's the idea; that this huge survey will point us in the right direction.

#### VI. Draft Council Resolution 6: Mesa Shuttle Ridership Enforcement

A. General importance: after meeting with representatives from TPS about Mesa shuttle issues, we discussed the issue but did not formally propose anything. This resolution states what we recommend and what we want to happen.

#### B. Feedback

1. We have to make it clear that in order to do anything, they need to go through ARCH and us. But I think the 4th "Be it Resolved" clause is problematic; we need a good guest policy. I say that we recommend that you can have as many guests as you want through the end of the year, but TPS has to come up with some regulation by the end of the year. We don't want a free for all

#### *Motion to strike #4, objection*

1. I don't live in mesa, but if I visited I would just have a resident claim me as a guest and still park there. I understand most of this resolution but I thought one of the most reasonable things they said was that just for the impacted time frame, it should be only Mesa residents.
2. If we strike it out, then there won't be any means for guests to use the bus, right? If they are really crowded, I feel like residents won't just pick up random people
  - a. They only won't be able to use the bus during enforced hours only

3. What about overnight guests that really need to get back to campus?
4. I'm in favor of an open guest policy, but I want to strike it because we want to be notified the next time TPS makes a change like that
5. What about children's caretakers? I recommend some kind of documentation/indicator for them
  - a. *Point of information: those folks can get a sticker through Transportation*
6. I'm against the striking and more inclined to amending, especially the previous language
7. *Question called, seconded, objection*
  - a. *Vote on closing discussion: passes*
  - b. *Vote to strike "Be it Resolved" Clause 4: fails*
2. In favor of this in general, but we should add a resolution about exceptions for events; TPS had said it was ok but it's not mentioned here
  - a) This is only for enforced hours, none of our events are during that time
3. I wrote this the way I did because shuttles are overcrowded, and we need something inclusive. They need to come up with a clear guest policy

*Motion to amend line 4: GSA also recommends that TPS provide an adequate solution to the guest policy to the Council before the enforcement of the sticker policy, seconded, objection*

- a. Change the language: GSA also recommends that TPS develop a comprehensive and coherent guest ridership policy for residents and affiliates with the consultation of the GSA before the enforcement...
- b. Friendly addition: until we get a full policy, we are allowed as many guests as we need
- c. We should be going down that line. TPS is fine with guests; Mesa residents are the ones who don't like it. It's our problem, not theirs', so we shouldn't just say "TPS solve this"

*Question called, seconded: Voting on the amendment as it stands, passes*

2. Back to main resolution:
  - a. We should define guests: children aren't guests, for example. There should be a solid definition
    - i. Facilitators, caretakers, nannies should be included as residents, as they need to use it. Guests should be non residents, overnight guests, etc

*Motion to amend 4: "...in the meantime, until such a policy is determined, residents and affiliates with guests should defer to resident and affiliate riders during enforcement hours"*

1. *"Defer" is not the right verb*

- 2. *May not be enforceable depending on the stop that we're looking at*
      - 3. *No second, motion dies*
    - b. The concerns about the guest policy can be determined later. We are just saying that we want a policy
      - i. So no enforcement right now?
        - 1. No
    - c. To answer previous point, it's TPS' job to come up with a policy, not ours.
 

*Question called, objection.*

      - i. Objection because I have a lot of grammatical and clarification points
      - ii. *Vote to close the debate: passes, speakers' list closed*
    - d. Grammatical and clarificatory amendments
      - i. Making grammatical edits before Clause 4, seconded, all pass
      - ii. Strike of (4) Whereas
        - 1. Objection: these are all supposed to be grammatical; a strike is not not grammatical
        - 2. I am the author of the resolution; would like to reiterate necessity of (4)
        - 3. Amendment withdrawn, would suggest a new one
          - a. Amendment passes
      - iii. Strike of clause (5), seconded, passed
      - iv. Amendments to "Be it Resolved" clauses, seconded
        - 1. Objection, we're contradicting ourselves
        - 2. Point taken, rewriting amendment
        - 3. Opposed to striking the last part, policy has to be amended immediately after referendum
    - e. *Question called for entire resolution: Resolution passed 25-0-1*
- VII. Discussion: GSR Unionization
  - A. move to end discussion, seconded
- VIII. Appointments
- IX. Finance Bills
  - A. DRF 06: \$500, expecting 80 grad students
    - 1. *Motion to approve, seconded, passes*
  - B. SRF 02: memorial for Candice Rice
    - 1. *motion to approve, seconded, passes*
  - C. APRF 11: Department of Visual Arts symposium
    - 1. *move to approve, seconded, passes*
- X. Call for Council Meeting 10 Agenda Items
  - A. Next time: health insurance pooling as well as transportation fee referendum
- XI. Adjourn [7:10]